How to Cite
Yen, T. T. (2026). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Psychological implications for students. *International Journal of Life Sciences and Earth Sciences*, *9*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.21744/ijle.v9n1.2493

Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Psychological Implications for Students

Tran Thi Yen
Center for Training and Technology Transfer, Hanoi Medical University, Vietnam

Abstract---*Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly transformed higher education by reshaping teaching practices, learning environments, and students' educational experiences. Alongside its pedagogical benefits, AI has generated increasing interest regarding its psychological implications for university students. This study aims to synthesize current evidence concerning the psychological effects of AI in higher education and to identify educational implications for its responsible implementation. A qualitative documentary research approach was employed using secondary data collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, conference proceedings, academic books, and official reports, with priority given to publications indexed in Scopus and Web of Science between 2019 and 2025. Qualitative content analysis was applied to identify major themes related to students' psychological experiences in AI-supported learning environments. The findings indicate that AI enhances learning motivation, academic engagement, self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and psychological well-being through personalized learning, adaptive feedback, and flexible educational support. At the same time, AI presents significant psychological challenges, including excessive dependence on AI-generated content, reduced critical thinking, academic anxiety, ethical concerns, technology dependence, and risks to academic integrity. The review further highlights that the psychological impact of AI depends largely on how educational institutions integrate AI into teaching and learning rather than on the technology itself. In the context of higher education in Vietnam, successful AI implementation requires not only technological infrastructure but also AI literacy, ethical guidelines, innovative assessment practices, and psychological support for students. The study contributes to the growing literature on educational psychology and provides theoretical and practical implications for developing responsible, ethical, and psychologically supportive AI-enhanced higher education.*
Keywords---*artificial intelligence, higher education, educational psychology, psychological implications, university students, AI-assisted learning.*

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become one of the most transformative technologies in contemporary education, fundamentally reshaping the ways knowledge is created, delivered, and acquired. The rapid advancement of machine learning, natural language processing, and generative AI has enabled educational institutions to adopt intelligent systems that support personalized learning, automated assessment, adaptive feedback, and academic decision-making (Holmes et al., 2019). In particular, the emergence of large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT has accelerated the integration of AI into higher education, providing students with unprecedented opportunities to access information, solve complex problems, generate academic content, and receive instant learning support (Kasneci et al., 2023; Kshetri et al., 2023).

The application of AI in higher education has expanded considerably over recent years. Universities increasingly employ AI-powered learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, virtual assistants, automated grading tools, and generative AI applications to improve teaching effectiveness and learning outcomes. A systematic review by Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) revealed that AI has become an important driver of innovation in higher education by supporting personalized instruction, learner analytics, and institutional decision-making. More recently, Crompton & Burke (2023) observed that generative AI has rapidly transformed higher education by enabling more flexible, accessible, and student-centered learning experiences. Similarly, Lo (2023) emphasized that ChatGPT offers

ISSN 2632-9425
Submitted: 12 March 2026 |Revised: 28 April 2026 | Accepted: 16 May 2026

considerable educational benefits through immediate feedback, content generation, and individualized learning assistance, while Tlili et al. (2023) argued that conversational AI has the potential to enrich learning interactions when integrated appropriately into educational environments.

Beyond its pedagogical advantages, AI has increasingly attracted attention from educational psychologists because of its profound influence on students' psychological experiences. AI-supported learning environments may enhance learning motivation, self-efficacy, academic engagement, self-regulated learning, and confidence by providing personalized guidance and reducing learning barriers (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah, 2023; Kasneci et al., 2023). Meta-analytic evidence also suggests that generative AI can positively influence learning performance when used as a complementary educational tool rather than a substitute for independent learning (Zhu et al., 2025). These findings indicate that AI has the potential to create more supportive and adaptive learning environments that promote students' academic development and psychological well-being.

However, alongside these opportunities, the widespread adoption of AI has raised important psychological concerns. The increasing reliance on AI-generated content may reduce students' critical thinking, independent problem-solving ability, and cognitive engagement if AI is used uncritically (Kshetri et al., 2023; Selwyn, 2022). Furthermore, ethical dilemmas related to academic integrity, excessive dependence on AI, privacy protection, and algorithmic bias have become increasingly significant issues in higher education (Fui-Hoon Nah et al., 2023). Recent studies have also reported that intensive AI use may contribute to academic anxiety, uncertainty regarding learning competence, technology-related stress, and concerns about future employability (Klimova & Pikhart, 2025; Basha et al., 2025). These psychological effects are particularly important because university students are in a developmental stage characterized by identity formation, increasing academic demands, and preparation for professional careers.

Although the literature on AI in higher education has expanded rapidly, most existing studies primarily emphasize technological innovation, instructional effectiveness, or learning performance. Comparatively fewer studies have comprehensively examined both the positive and negative psychological implications of AI from an educational psychology perspective. Existing evidence remains fragmented regarding how AI simultaneously influences students' motivation, emotional well-being, self-regulation, critical thinking, academic integrity, and mental health within higher education contexts (Fulmer et al., 2025; Ahmed et al., 2026). Consequently, there is a need for an integrated synthesis that consolidates current evidence and identifies emerging psychological issues associated with AI-assisted learning.

Theoretical Framework

Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education

Artificial intelligence (AI) refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that normally require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, language processing, decision making, and problem solving. Recent advances in generative AI, particularly large language models such as ChatGPT, have significantly expanded the role of AI in higher education. AI is increasingly used to support personalized learning, intelligent tutoring, automated assessment, content generation, academic advising, and learning analytics, thereby transforming traditional teaching and learning practices (Holmes et al., 2019; Kasneci et al., 2023).

The integration of AI into higher education has created new opportunities for improving learning efficiency, accessibility, and educational quality. AI-powered systems provide immediate feedback, adaptive learning pathways, and individualized instructional support that allow students to learn at their own pace and meet their own needs. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) identified AI as a major driver of educational innovation, while Crompton & Burke (2023) emphasized that generative AI has accelerated the transition toward more personalized and learner-centered education. Similarly, Lo (2023) argued that AI applications can improve students' learning experiences by offering continuous academic support and facilitating independent learning.

Psychological Implications of AI-Assisted Learning

From the perspective of educational psychology, learning technologies influence not only academic achievement but also students' psychological development. AI-assisted learning environments may enhance learning motivation, self-efficacy, academic engagement, and self-regulated learning by providing timely feedback, personalized guidance, and flexible learning opportunities (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah, 2023; Kasneci et al., 2023). When students receive immediate support and adaptive recommendations, they are more likely to develop confidence in their learning abilities and become active participants in the learning process.

Despite these advantages, AI also presents several psychological challenges. Excessive dependence on AI-generated responses may reduce independent thinking, critical reasoning, and problem-solving abilities if students rely on technology without sufficient cognitive engagement (Kshetri et al., 2023). Ethical issues concerning academic integrity, data privacy, and algorithmic bias may also create uncertainty and psychological pressure among learners (Fui-Hoon Nah et al., 2023). Furthermore, recent studies have suggested that inappropriate use of AI may increase academic anxiety, technology-related stress, and concerns regarding students' future professional competence (Klimova & Pikhart, 2025; Basha et al., 2025).

Educational Psychology Perspective

Educational psychology provides an appropriate theoretical perspective for understanding how AI affects university students. Learning is regarded as a process involving cognitive, emotional, motivational, and behavioral interactions within a particular educational environment. Consequently, AI should not be viewed merely as a technological tool but as an educational factor capable of shaping students' psychological experiences throughout the learning process.

Within this perspective, several psychological constructs are particularly relevant, including learning motivation, academic engagement, self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, critical thinking, cognitive load, academic anxiety, psychological well-being, and technology acceptance (Kasneci et al., 2023; Fulmer et al., 2025). These constructs explain how students perceive, respond to, and benefit from AI-supported learning environments, while also highlighting potential psychological risks associated with excessive or inappropriate AI use.

Conceptual Framework

Based on the reviewed literature, this study proposes a conceptual framework in which AI applications influence students' psychological experiences through multiple educational processes. AI technologies, including generative AI, intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, virtual assistants, and learning analytics, create learning environments that shape students' motivation, engagement, self-efficacy, critical thinking, cognitive load, psychological well-being, and academic integrity. These psychological processes subsequently influence students' learning performance, educational adaptation, and overall learning experiences in higher education. Accordingly, this study synthesizes existing evidence to examine both the positive and negative psychological implications of AI in higher education (Hwang & Chien, 2022).

Methodology

This study employed a qualitative documentary research approach to examine the psychological implications of artificial intelligence (AI) for students in higher education. The study was based on secondary data collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, conference proceedings, academic books, and official reports related to artificial intelligence in education, educational psychology, digital learning, student well-being, and university teaching and learning. Priority was given to publications indexed in Scopus and Web of Science to ensure the academic credibility, reliability, and relevance of the reviewed evidence.

The research process was conducted in several stages. First, relevant literature was identified through searches in major academic databases using keywords such as “artificial intelligence in higher education,” “generative AI,” “ChatGPT,” “university students,” “psychological implications,” “student well-being,” “learning motivation,” “academic stress,” “self-regulated learning,” “student engagement,” and “mental health.” The review mainly focused on studies published between 2019 and 2025, as this period reflects the rapid growth of AI applications, particularly generative AI tools, in higher education contexts.

Second, the collected documents were screened according to their relevance to the research topic, methodological quality, and contribution to understanding the psychological effects of AI on university students. Studies that focused only on technical aspects of AI without educational or psychological relevance were excluded. Greater attention was given to studies discussing students’ motivation, engagement, self-efficacy, cognitive load, critical thinking, academic anxiety, psychological well-being, technology acceptance, and academic integrity.

Third, the selected materials were analyzed using qualitative content analysis. This method allowed the study to identify recurring themes, patterns, and arguments across the literature. The analysis focused on both the positive and negative psychological implications of AI-assisted learning, including enhanced personalization, learning autonomy, motivation, and feedback, as well as potential risks such as overdependence on AI, reduced critical thinking, anxiety, ethical concerns, and pressure related to academic performance (Kim et al., 2011).

Finally, the findings were synthesized into thematic categories to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI influences students' psychological experiences in higher education. The synthesis also helped identify research gaps and propose educational implications for the responsible, ethical, and psychologically supportive integration of AI into university teaching and learning.

Findings

AI Enhances Students' Learning Motivation and Academic Engagement

The reviewed literature consistently indicates that AI has become an important educational tool for increasing students' learning motivation and engagement in higher education. AI-powered systems provide immediate feedback, adaptive learning pathways, and personalized instructional support, allowing students to receive assistance according to their individual learning needs. These features contribute to more active participation in learning activities and encourage greater autonomy in knowledge acquisition (Holmes et al., 2019; Kasneci et al., 2023).

Generative AI applications, particularly ChatGPT, further strengthen students' engagement by offering continuous academic support beyond classroom settings. Students can ask questions, receive explanations, generate summaries, and obtain instant feedback without time constraints. As a result, AI promotes self-directed learning and increases learners' confidence when approaching difficult academic tasks (Lo, 2023; Tlili et al., 2023).

Meta-analytic evidence also demonstrates that AI-assisted learning positively influences learning outcomes when integrated appropriately into teaching activities. Personalized recommendations and adaptive learning environments enable students to learn more efficiently while maintaining higher levels of motivation throughout the learning process (Zhu et al., 2025).

Table 1
Positive psychological implications of AI in higher education

Psychological dimension	Major findings	Representative studies
Learning motivation	Personalized learning increases motivation	Holmes et al. (2019); Lo (2023)
Academic engagement	Interactive AI tools promote active participation	Kasneci et al. (2023); Tlili et al. (2023)
Self-efficacy	Immediate feedback improves confidence	Baidoo-Anu & Ansah (2023)
Self-regulated learning	AI facilitates autonomous learning	Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019); Lo (2023)
Learning performance	Adaptive instruction improves learning outcomes	Zhu et al. (2025)

AI Supports Psychological Well-Being through Personalized Learning

Another important finding concerns the contribution of AI to students' psychological well-being. Personalized learning environments reduce learning barriers by allowing students to progress at their own pace. AI systems provide individualized explanations, identify learning weaknesses, and recommend appropriate learning materials, thereby reducing frustration during the learning process (Holmes et al., 2019).

Several recent studies suggest that AI can reduce cognitive overload by organizing learning resources efficiently and providing immediate assistance when students encounter academic difficulties. This timely support increases students' sense of competence and academic confidence while improving satisfaction with learning experiences (Kasneci et al., 2023).

Furthermore, AI-assisted learning enables greater flexibility in time and place, allowing students to balance academic demands with personal responsibilities. Such flexibility contributes positively to psychological adaptation, particularly among students experiencing intensive academic workloads (Fulmer et al., 2025).

Psychological Risks Associated with AI-Assisted Learning

Despite numerous educational benefits, the reviewed literature also identifies several psychological challenges associated with AI adoption.

One major concern is excessive dependence on AI. Students who rely heavily on AI-generated answers may gradually reduce independent thinking, analytical reasoning, and creative problem-solving. Rather than actively constructing knowledge, some learners may simply accept AI-generated responses without sufficient evaluation (Kshetri et al., 2023).

Another recurring issue involves academic integrity. Easy access to AI-generated assignments raises concerns regarding plagiarism, authenticity of assessment, and ethical learning behavior. Such concerns create additional psychological pressure for both students and instructors while increasing uncertainty regarding fair academic evaluation (Fui-Hoon Nah et al., 2023).

Recent literature also highlights growing concerns regarding anxiety, technology dependence, and digital fatigue. Students may experience stress related to the accuracy of AI-generated information, fear of becoming academically dependent on AI, or uncertainty regarding future employment in increasingly AI-driven workplaces (Klimova & Pikhart, 2025; Basha et al., 2025).

Table 2
Negative psychological implications of AI in higher education

Psychological challenge	Description	Representative studies
Reduced critical thinking	Overreliance on AI decreases analytical reasoning	Dwivedi et al. (2023)
Academic integrity concerns	AI facilitates plagiarism and unethical practices	Lim et al. (2023)
Academic anxiety	Students worry about AI dependence and performance	Klimova & Pikhart (2025)
Technology dependence	Excessive reliance reduces autonomous learning	Basha et al. (2025)
Privacy and ethical concerns	Data security and algorithmic bias create uncertainty	Dwivedi et al. (2023); Lim et al. (2023)

Educational Implications for Responsible AI Integration

The reviewed studies consistently emphasize that the psychological impact of AI depends largely on how educational institutions integrate AI into teaching and learning rather than on the technology itself.

Universities should develop AI literacy among students, enabling them to evaluate AI-generated information critically and use AI as a complementary learning resource instead of replacing independent thinking (Kasneci et al., 2023). Faculty members also require professional development to design AI-supported learning activities that promote critical thinking, collaboration, and ethical decision-making.

Moreover, institutional policies should address academic integrity, responsible AI use, data privacy, and psychological support services. Universities should establish clear guidelines that encourage ethical AI practices while minimizing potential psychological risks. Recent studies further recommend integrating mental health support into AI implementation strategies to ensure that technological innovation contributes positively to students' psychological well-being rather than creating additional stress (Fulmer et al., 2025; Ahmed et al., 2026).

Discussion

The findings of this review indicate that artificial intelligence has become an influential factor shaping university students' psychological experiences in higher education. Rather than functioning solely as a technological tool, AI increasingly acts as an educational environment that influences students' motivation, engagement, self-efficacy, emotional well-being, and learning behaviors. This observation supports the view of educational psychology that technological innovations affect both cognitive and affective dimensions of learning. Therefore, evaluating AI in higher education should extend beyond learning outcomes to include its broader psychological implications for students.

One of the most significant findings is that AI can strengthen students' motivation and self-regulated learning when used as an instructional support tool. Personalized feedback, adaptive learning pathways, and immediate access to academic assistance encourage students to participate more actively in learning and develop greater confidence in solving academic problems. These findings are consistent with previous studies suggesting that AI enhances learner autonomy and promotes student-centered education (Holmes et al., 2019; Kasneci et al., 2023). However, the educational value of AI depends on appropriate pedagogical design. Without effective instructional guidance, students may become passive users who rely excessively on AI-generated answers instead of developing independent reasoning and critical thinking skills.

The review also demonstrates that the psychological effects of AI are multidimensional. While AI contributes positively to learning motivation and psychological well-being, it simultaneously introduces new psychological challenges, including technology dependence, academic anxiety, ethical uncertainty, and concerns regarding academic integrity. These findings suggest that AI should not be viewed as either inherently beneficial or harmful. Instead, its psychological impact depends largely on how students, instructors, and institutions use AI within the educational process. Consequently, universities should adopt balanced implementation strategies that maximize educational benefits while minimizing potential psychological risks (Matthews & López, 2020).

These findings have particular relevance for higher education in Vietnam, where universities are actively promoting digital transformation and integrating AI into teaching, learning, and academic management. In recent years, AI tools such as ChatGPT, Microsoft Copilot, Gemini, and other intelligent learning platforms have become increasingly accessible to Vietnamese university students. These technologies provide valuable opportunities for improving learning efficiency, supporting academic writing, facilitating information retrieval, and encouraging independent learning. Nevertheless, the rapid adoption of AI has also raised concerns regarding students' critical thinking, academic honesty, digital ethics, and excessive dependence on AI-assisted learning. In many universities, institutional policies and instructional guidelines for responsible AI use remain under development, resulting in inconsistent practices among academic programs and individual instructors.

The Vietnamese context also presents several practical challenges that may influence students' psychological adaptation to AI. Differences in digital competence among students and lecturers, unequal access to technological resources, and limited formal training in AI literacy may reduce the effectiveness of AI integration. Moreover, assessment systems that emphasize reproduction of knowledge rather than higher-order thinking may unintentionally encourage inappropriate reliance on generative AI. These challenges indicate that successful AI integration requires not only technological investment but also pedagogical innovation and systematic support for students' psychological development.

From an educational perspective, universities should develop comprehensive AI literacy programs that enable students to use AI critically, ethically, and responsibly. AI should be positioned as a learning partner that supports inquiry, reflection, and problem solving rather than replacing students' own intellectual efforts. Faculty members also need continuous professional development to redesign teaching strategies, learning activities, and assessment methods that promote critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and academic integrity in AI-supported learning environments. Furthermore, university policies should incorporate psychological well-being into digital transformation initiatives by providing guidance, counseling services, and ethical frameworks that help students adapt confidently to AI-enhanced education.

Although this study provides a comprehensive synthesis of current literature, several limitations should be acknowledged. The analysis relied exclusively on documentary evidence rather than primary empirical data. Most of the reviewed studies were conducted in developed countries where technological infrastructure and AI adoption differ considerably from those in developing educational systems such as Vietnam. Consequently, caution should be exercised when generalizing the findings to the Vietnamese context. Future research should employ quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method designs to investigate Vietnamese university students' psychological experiences with AI and examine factors such as digital literacy, academic discipline, learning culture, and institutional support that may influence AI adoption and psychological outcomes.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is reshaping higher education by creating new opportunities for personalized learning, adaptive instruction, and student-centered educational experiences. This documentary review demonstrates that AI influences university students not only academically but also psychologically. The reviewed evidence indicates that AI can strengthen learning motivation, academic engagement, self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and psychological well-being by providing timely feedback, personalized guidance, and flexible learning support. These benefits suggest that

AI has considerable potential to enhance students' learning experiences when implemented within appropriate pedagogical frameworks.

At the same time, the review identifies several important psychological challenges associated with AI-assisted learning. Excessive reliance on AI may reduce critical thinking and independent problem-solving abilities, while concerns regarding academic integrity, technology dependence, privacy, and academic anxiety require careful educational attention. These findings indicate that the psychological consequences of AI are multidimensional and depend largely on how students and institutions use AI throughout the learning process.

For higher education institutions, particularly in Vietnam, the effective integration of AI should combine technological innovation with educational psychology principles. Universities should strengthen AI literacy, redesign teaching and assessment practices, establish ethical guidelines for AI use, and provide psychological support that enables students to use AI responsibly and critically. Such measures will help maximize the educational benefits of AI while minimizing its potential psychological risks.

Although this study provides a comprehensive synthesis of recent literature, it is limited by its reliance on documentary evidence rather than empirical investigation. Future research should conduct quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method studies involving university students to examine psychological responses to AI across different academic disciplines and cultural contexts. Such research will contribute to a deeper understanding of how AI can support both academic success and psychological development in higher education.

References

Ahmed, M., Soomro, N., Ali, M., & Mustafa, G. (2026). Impact of AI Use on Students' Learning Competencies and Mental Health at Higher Education Institutions. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*.

Baidoo-Anu, D., & Ansah, L. O. (2023). Education in the Era of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): Understanding the Potential Benefits of ChatGPT in Promoting Teaching and Learning. *Journal of AI.*

Basha, S. E., Gull, M., Alquqa, E. K., Mahmoud, K., & Harhash, A. (2025). The role of AI in university students' mental health: a bibliometric review. *Discover Social Science and Health*, *5*(1), 131.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: The State of the Field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*.

Fui-Hoon Nah, F., Zheng, R., Cai, J., Siau, K., & Chen, L. (2023). Generative AI and ChatGPT: Applications, challenges, and AI-human collaboration. Journal of information technology case and application research, 25(3), 277-304.

Fulmer, R., Li, N., & Liu, S. (2025). AI in Mental Health and Higher Education: Exploring Well-Being, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations. Springer Book Chapter.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Hwang, G. J., & Chien, S. Y. (2022). Definition, roles, and potential research issues of the metaverse in education: An artificial intelligence perspective. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *3*, 100082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100082

Kasneci, E., et al. (2023). ChatGPT for Good? On Opportunities and Challenges of Large Language Models for Education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274.

Kim, J., Kwon, Y., & Cho, D. (2011). Investigating factors that influence social presence and learning outcomes in distance higher education. *Computers & Education*, *57*(2), 1512-1520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.02.005

Klimova, B., & Pikhart, M. (2025). Exploring the Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Student and Teacher Well-Being: A Mini Review. *Frontiers/Public Health review*.

Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., louise Slade, E., Jeyaraj, A., kumar Kar, A., Koohang, A., ... & Wright, R. (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *71*, 102642.

Lo, C. K. (2023). What Is the Impact of ChatGPT on Education? A Rapid Review. *Education Sciences*.

Matthews, J. S., & López, F. (2020). Race-reimaging educational psychology research: Investigating constructs through the lens of race and culture. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *61*, 101878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101878

Selwyn, N. (2022). The Future of AI and Education: Some Cautionary Notes. *European Journal of Education*

Tlili, A., et al. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(39).

Zhu, Y., Liu, Q., & Zhao, L. (2025). Exploring the Impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Students' Learning Outcomes: A Meta-analysis. *Education and Information Technologies*.