How to Cite

Prabhadika, I. P. Y., Tastrawati, N. K. T., Harini, L. P. I., & Dewinta, I. A. R. (2023). Optimization of infusion supply using the probabilistic economic order quantity (EOQ) method at Sanglah Center General Hospital. *International Journal of Physics & Mathematics*, 6(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.21744/ijpm.v6n1.2067

Optimization of Infusion Supply Using the Probabilistic Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) Method at Sanglah Center General Hospital

I Putu Yudi Prabhadika

Teknologi Telekomunikasi, Sekolah Vokasi, Universitas Warmadewa, Denpasar, Indonesia Corresponding author email: prabhadika@gmail.com

Ni Ketut Tari Tastrawati

Matematika, Fakultas MIPA, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia

Luh Putu Ida Harini

Matematika, Fakultas MIPA, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia

Ida Ayu Rosa Dewinta

Sistem Informasi Akuntansi, Sekolah Vokasi, Universitas Warmadewa, Denpasar, Indonesia

Abstract---Inventory planning is important to avoid the advantages or lack of goods. Hospitals as health care providers also have a share in the stock of goods, one of which is infusion. This study aims to optimize infusion supply at Sanglah Central General Hospital using Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) method with (q, r) model. The forecasting method used in forecasting infusion requirements at Sanglah Hospital is an Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) method. The results of this study indicate the amount of infusion of NaCl 0.9% 500 ml and 5% 500 ml glucose infusion which is expected to be ordered by Sanglah Hospital at the beginning of the booking period is 11,921 and 560 units. Sanglah Hospital need to re-order when the stock of infusion of NaCl 0.9% 500 ml touched the number 3,593 and 5% 500 ml glucose infusion as a safety reserve of 190 units for infusion of NaCl type 0.9% 500 ml and 21 units for glucose type 5% 500 ml. The total inventory cost of the infusion to be issued by Sanglah Hospital in the planning of the infusion needs for 6 months is also obtained.

Keywords---ARIMA, economic order quantity, forecasting, infusion supply, operational research.

Introduction

One of the optimization problems that can be studied is the inventory problem. Inventory is related to the storage of sufficient supplies of goods (components and raw materials, for example) that will ensure the smooth operation of production systems or business activities (Taha, 1997). Inventory problems can be solved using the inventory model. In general, inventory models can be divided into two, the first is the deterministic model, the model that assumes all variables are known with certainty, and the second is the probabilistic model, the model that assumes variables have uncertain values (Zhang et al., 2014; Mora et al., 2018; Muliarta, 2016).

Hospitals as health service providers also have a stake in the supply of goods such as medicines, especially infusion-type drugs and other injections. Infusion is a medical device that under certain conditions is used to replace lost body fluids and balance the body's electrolytes. Research on inventory models has been carried out several times. Roy & Chaudhuri (2007), has conducted research on the supply model which discusses the EOQ model with a limited time, taking into account inflation and the time value of money. This research area involves situations where the level of demand depends on the level of supply and investigates models for supply systems where the level of demand depends on the level of supply.

Ernawati & Sunarsih (2008), researched a probabilistic model inventory control system with a "back order policy" where a simulation was carried out at PT. Surya Tarra Mandiri is engaged in general manufacturing. The goal of the company is to minimize annual inventory costs with a capacity constraint of 20 m2 area and a purchasing

goal of the company is to minimize annual inventory costs with a capacity constraint of 20 m2 area and a purchasing budget of Rp. 125 million. This research resulted in inventory control using a probabilistic inventory model with a "back order policy" for the company showing better results compared to the planning used by the company so far, so the optimization method can be used as a consideration for company management in holding inventories (Nia et al., 2014; Taleizadeh & Pentico, 2013; Chen et al., 2014).

Based on the studies mentioned, the authors are interested in applying other operations research optimization methods to a case. This study aims to apply the probabilistic EOQ method with (q,r) model in optimizing infusion supplies with case studies at the Sanglah Central General Hospital (RSUP) Denpasar (Handaya, 2011; Heizer et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2003; Teng, 2009). So far, in planning for infusion supplies for the next period, Sanglah Hospital does not use a special method. The infusion chosen as the research object was the most widely used infusion, namely 0.9% NaCl 500 ml and 5% glucose 500 ml. Sanglah General Hospital was chosen because it is the largest hospital and largest infusion provider in Bali. Sanglah General Hospital is also the hospital with the highest number of inpatients in Bali. The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for ordering infusions at Sanglah General Hospital did not affect the results in this study. To predict the need for infusion supplies for the next period, the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) forecasting model will be used, the results of which will be used in the application of the probabilistic EOQ method (Madda & Jaber, 2008; Khan et al., 2011; Lee & Tong, 2011; ArunKumar et al., 2021).

Research Method

Data collection

The type of data used in this study is quantitative data, which is historical data on the total use of 0.9% 500 ml NaCl infusion and 5% 500 ml glucose infusion at Sanglah General Hospital every month for 30 months (January 2015 - June 2017).

Data analytical method

The analytical method in this study includes two steps of work, which are forecasting the level of infusion needs used at Sanglah General Hospital using the ARIMA method and then the optimization step using the probabilistic Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) method with (q,r) model (Makridakis et al., 2008; Rangkuti, 2013; Wei, 2006; Eroglu & Ozdemir, 2007). The processing steps are as follows:

Calculating ARIMA model

The ARIMA model is a non-stationary model and has gone through a different process. ARIMA model with (p,d,q) order can be written:

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d Z_t = \theta_q(B)a_t \tag{1}$$

Forecasting criteria

Measuring the accuracy of a forecasting method is needed to show how far the known data is capable of producing a good forecasting method (Satria, 2014; Bahagia, 2006; Gujarati & Porter, 2004; Winston, 1994). The indicator that is generally used in measuring the accuracy of the forecasting method is the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) which can be found using the following formula:

$$MAPE = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{t=m} \frac{|Y_t - W_t|}{Y_t} \times 100\%}{m}$$
(2)

With:

 Y_t = data at time (t) W_t = forecasting data at time (t)

m = amount of data

Economic Order Quantity with (q,r) model

The first step in the Probabilistic EOQ is to find the value of the initial order quantity (q_1) with the formula:

$$q_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2K \cdot E(D)}{h}} \tag{3}$$

With:

K = ordering cost E(D) = expected infusion requirement h = storage cost

Then find the stockout probability (α_1) and reorder point (r_1) with the formula:

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{hq_1}{C_B E(D)} \to Z_{\alpha_1} \tag{4}$$

 z_{α_1} value can be seen in the *z* distribution table.

$$r_1 = E(X) + z_{\alpha_1} S \sqrt{L} \tag{5}$$

With:

 C_B = inventory shortage costs

E(X) = expected demand during lead time

S = standard deviation of data

L = lead time

Then find the value of q_2 , α_2 , r_2 with the formula:

$$q_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2E(D)\left[K + C_{B}\int_{r_{1}}^{\infty} (X - r)f(X)dX\right]}{h}} \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_{2} = \frac{hq_{2}}{C_{B}E(D)} \rightarrow Z_{\alpha_{2}} \quad (7)$$

$$r_{2} = E(X) + Z_{\alpha_{2}}S\sqrt{L} \quad (8)$$

If the value of $r_1 \cong r_2$, then the iteration is finished and the optimal *r* and *q* are obtained. If not met, then look for the value of r_3 again. Then look for the value of the safety reserve (*ss*) and the total cost of inventory (*TC*) with the formula:

$$ss = z_{\alpha}S_{x}$$
(9)
$$TC(q,r) = E(D).p + K\frac{E(D)}{q} + h\left(\frac{q}{2} - E(X) + r\right) + \frac{C_{B}.E(B_{r}).E(D)}{q}$$
(10)

Result

Forecasting Needs for NaCl Infusion and Glucose Infusion

Based on the tests that have been carried out, it is known that the best model for predicting the need for NaCl infusion is ARIMA (4,1,0) and the best model for predicting the need for glucose infusion is ARIMA (2,1,2) with the following results:

No	Month	Number of Needs
1	July	24.597
2	August	26.143
3	September	26.850
4	October	25.605
5	November	25.982
6	December	25.654
Total		154.831

Table 1 NaCl infusion forecasting results

Table 2		
Glucose infusion forecasting results		

No	Month	Number of Needs
1	July	1.280
2	August	1.367
3	September	1.406
4	October	1.406
5	November	1.397
6	December	1.393
Total		8.249

Determining the optimal number of orders, reorder points and safety stock

1) NaCl Infusion

By using known values, we get: $q_1 = 11.622,378$ $r_1 = 3.593,378$ $q_2 = 11.920,3969$ $r_2 = 3.592,276$ From the results obtained, it is known that $r_1 \cong r_2$, therefore the iteration is finished and the optimal value of r = 3,593 and q = 11,921 is obtained (values are rounded up). Next, a safety reserve (ss) will be sought. $ss = z_{\alpha}S\sqrt{L}$ = 189,4465Thus, the safety reserve that needs to be prepared is 190 units (values are rounded up). 2) Glucose Infusion By using known values, we get: *q*₁= 557,5492 $r_1 = 201,8465$ $q_2 = 559,2678$ $r_2 = 201,8465$ From the results obtained, it is known that $r_1 = r_2$, therefore the iteration is finished and the optimal value of r = 202 and q = 560 is obtained (values are rounded up). Next, a safety reserve (ss) will be sought. $ss = z_{\alpha}S\sqrt{L}$

= 20,6157

Thus, the safety reserve that needs to be prepared is 21 units (values are rounded up).

Determining total order cost (TC)

1) NaCl Infusion

$$TC(q,r) = E(D).p + K\frac{E(D)}{q} + h\left(\frac{q}{2} - E(X) + r\right) + \frac{C_B.E(B_r).E(D)}{q}$$

= 1.004.516.195

2) Glucose Infusion

$$TC(q,r) = E(D).p + K\frac{E(D)}{q} + h\left(\frac{q}{2} - E(X) + r\right) + \frac{C_B.E(B_r).E(D)}{q}$$

$$= 43.460.456,31$$

Conclusions

Based on the previous discussion, it can be concluded that planning for infusion supplies at Sanglah General Hospital is as follows:

- Sanglah General Hospital is expected to provide infusions by the beginning of July 2017 as many as 11,921 units for 500 ml 0.9% NaCl infusion and 560 units for 5% 500 ml Glucose type infusion. Sanglah Hospital needs to place another order when the 500 ml 0.9% NaCl infusion stock hits 3,593 and the 5% 500 ml Glucose infusion stock hits 202 units. To anticipate a surge in demand, Sanglah General Hospital is expected to provide infusions as a safety reserve of 190 units for 500 ml 0.9% NaCl type infusion and 21 units for 500 ml 5% glucose type.
- 2) Planning for the total inventory cost of the infusion to be issued by Sanglah General Hospital is Rp. 1,004,516,195 for 500 ml 0.9% NaCl infusion and Rp. 43,460,456.31 for 500 ml 5% glucose type infusion. Therefore, the costs that need to be prepared by the Sanglah General Hospital in planning the needs of the two infusions for 6 months are Rp. 1,047,976,651.31.

Recommendations

Because this study takes the case of an unconstrained model (unlimited storage warehouse), further researchers can use an inventory model with constraints, which is the Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) with the (q, r, λ) model.

References

- ArunKumar, K. E., Kalaga, D. V., Kumar, C. M. S., Chilkoor, G., Kawaji, M., & Brenza, T. M. (2021). Forecasting the dynamics of cumulative COVID-19 cases (confirmed, recovered and deaths) for top-16 countries using statistical machine learning models: Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) and Seasonal Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA). *Applied soft computing*, 103, 107161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107161
- Bahagia, S. N. (2006). Sistem Inventori (Bandung.
- Chen, S. C., Cárdenas-Barrón, L. E., & Teng, J. T. (2014). Retailer's economic order quantity when the supplier offers conditionally permissible delay in payments link to order quantity. *International journal of production Economics*, 155, 284-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.05.032
- Ernawati, Y., & Sunarsih, S. (2008). Sistem pengendalian Persediaan Model Probabilistik dengan "Back Order Policy". JURNAL MATEMATIKA DAN KOMPUTER, 11(2), 87-93.
- Eroglu, A., & Ozdemir, G. (2007). An economic order quantity model with defective items and shortages. *International journal of production economics*, *106*(2), 544-549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.06.015
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2004). Basic Econometrics. McGraw-Hill Companies. New York, NY, USA,.
- Handaya, Y. (2011). Infus Cairan Intravena (Macam-Macam Cairan Infus).
- Heizer, J., Render, B., & Munson, C. (2014). Operations Management: Sustainability and Supply Chain Management, 12th global ed. *England: Pearson Education Limited*.
- Huang, W., Kulkarni, V. G., & Swaminathan, J. M. (2003). Optimal EOQ for announced price increases in infinite horizon. *Operations Research*, *51*(2), 336-339.

- Khan, M., Jaber, M. Y., & Bonney, M. (2011). An economic order quantity (EOQ) for items with imperfect quality and inspection errors. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 133(1), 113-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.01.023
- Lee, Y. S., & Tong, L. I. (2011). Forecasting time series using a methodology based on autoregressive integrated moving average and genetic programming. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 24(1), 66-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2010.07.006
- Maddah, B., & Jaber, M. Y. (2008). Economic order quantity for items with imperfect quality: revisited. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 112(2), 808-815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2007.07.003
- Makridakis, S., Wheelwright, S. C., & Hyndman, R. J. (2008). *Forecasting methods and applications*. John wiley & sons.
- Mora, M. M., Espinosa, M. R., & Delgado, M. R. (2018). Approach of processes for the distribution of economic resources in public university of ecuador. *International research journal of management*, IT and social sciences, 5(1), 25-35.
- Muliarta, I. N. (2016). Medical waste and its management at wangaya hospital in Denpasar. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, 3(5), 94-102.
- Nia, A. R., Far, M. H., & Niaki, S. T. A. (2014). A fuzzy vendor managed inventory of multi-item economic order quantity model under shortage: An ant colony optimization algorithm. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 155, 259-271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.07.017
- Rangkuti, F. (2013). Strategi promosi yang kreatif dan analisis kasus. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Roy, T., & Chaudhuri, K. S. (2007). A finite time-horizon deterministic EOQ model with stock-dependent demand, effects of inflation and time value of money with shortages in all cycles. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, 17(2), 195-207.
- Satria, A. (2014). Penerapan Metode Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) Probabilistik Menggunakan Model (Q, R) pada Pengendalian dan Persediaan Obat Antinyeri Mefinal 500mg. *Jurnal Mahasiswa Statistik*, 2(2).
- Taha, H. A. (997). Riset Operasi. Jakarta: Binarupa Aksara.
- Taleizadeh, A. A., & Pentico, D. W. (2013). An economic order quantity model with a known price increase and partial back ordering. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 228(3), 516-525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.02.014
- Teng, J. T. (2009). A simple method to compute economic order quantities. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 198(1), 351-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2008.05.019
- Wei, W. W. (2006). Time series analysis: univariate and multivariate. *Methods. Boston, MA: Pearson Addison Wesley*.
- Winston, W. L. (1994). Operation Research Applications and Algorithms, printed in the United States of America Wadsworth.
- Zhang, Q., Tsao, Y. C., & Chen, T. H. (2014). Economic order quantity under advance payment. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 38(24), 5910-5921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2014.04.040