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Abstract

This study aims to explain the effect of information quality, perceived privacy protection, perceived security protection on trust, and its effect on continuance intention to use OVO in Denpasar. This research was conducted in Denpasar, using 139 respondents. This study uses Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) analysis techniques with the Partial Least Square approach. This study shows that information quality has a positive and significant effect on trust. Perceived privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on trust and continuance usage intention. Perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on trust and continuance usage intention. Also, this study also shows that trust has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention. Information quality was found that have no significant effect on the continuance usage intention.
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1 Introduction

Global information technology is currently growing rapidly. Technology affects almost every human activity supported by easy internet access. The existence of the internet makes the process of searching for information, sharing information, communication, and business transactions can be done quickly through computer or smartphone. Internet user penetration has increased by 29.9 percent since 2014 (Indonesian Internet Service Providers Association, 2015). This fact shows that Indonesia is a potential market in information technology.

Online payments using smartphones are known as e-wallets or mobile wallets. E-wallets are defined as multipurpose applications that include elements of mobile transactions that allow users to make payments via mobile devices. E-wallets are considered a fast and efficient payment instrument that can encourage the formation of a cashless society (Widodo et al., 2019). An attractive, easy, and convenient payment system can encourage consumers to use non-cash payments via e-wallets (Hutami, 2019).

Pricewaterhouse Coopers (PwC) in the 2019 Global Consumer Insight stated that 47 percent of respondents in Indonesia currently use e-wallet payment devices to transact in 2019. This figure is higher than in 2018 which was recorded at around 38 percent (Pricewaterhouse Coopers, 2019). Although the adoption of e-wallets has attracted a lot of attention, their sustainable use is still in doubt. Some users are skeptical to continue using it because of the considerable risk (Ryu, 2018). The large potential market has intensified competition in e-wallets. Users can easily switch to other e-wallet platforms. Therefore, user retention is an important issue for electronic financial service providers to remain competitive (Zhou et al., 2018). Service providers have invested huge resources in acquiring new users, thus it is very important to retain users and facilitate their continued use (Zhou, 2014).

Ipsos Indonesia’s publication in February 2020 indicates that Gopay dominated the e-wallet market share at 58 percent while OVO only controls 29 percent followed by Dana and LinkAja at 9 percent and 4 percent respectively. In 2019 OVO experienced rapid growth in the number of transactions of more than 70 percent in one year (Walfajri, 2020). OVO as a market challenger has a strategy to build a strong digital payment ecosystem to compete with market leaders, including retail, e-commerce, food delivery, and transportation by building partnerships with Grab and Indonesia's leading e-commerce platform Tokopedia (Kapron Asia, 2019). The size of the payment ecosystem built by OVO is still unable to make OVO the number one e-wallet application in Indonesia.

Department of Communication and Information in Denpasar stated that Denpasar was deemed successful in implementing the smart economy category for the smart city program (Department of Communication and Information of Denpasar City, 2019). Bank Indonesia launched the Indonesian Standard Quick Response Code (QRIS) to supports the realization of that program, which allows various types of e-wallets to transact using one QR code. It is recorded that the number of QRIS user merchants in Bali as of October 2020 was 149,266 merchants. This number increased by 486 percent compared to October 2019 and help increase the number of the digital payment transaction (Kusuma & Darma, 2020).

Online transactions are vulnerable to uncertain conditions and risks faced by consumers. Digital risks and lack of trust are often indicated as the most common reasons for consumers does not to use some digital technologies and applications (OECD, 2017). Trust is a multi-dimensional variable. Cognitive-based trust focuses on rational situations. Cognitive based trust is related to the observations and perceptions of consumers regarding the features and characteristics of the entity that are more significant in influencing decision making, enabling consumers to know, understand, predict routine and interaction processes because consumers are based on evidence (Kim et al., 2008; Sharifi & Esfidani, 2014; Washington, 2013). The different opinion states that cognitive trust represents a low level of trust (Ha et al., 2016; McAllister, 1995; Ranganathan et al., 2013) which states that cognitive trust represents a low level of trust.

Among the factors affecting trust, information quality from e-wallet platforms has a relatively large effect (Gao et al., 2015). The quality of information has a significant effect on the intention to adopt an online system (Komiak & Ilyas, 2010). According to Zheng et al. (2013), information quality directly affects the perceived benefits and user satisfaction, which in turn determines the user's continuing intention. Previous research states that information quality does not have a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention (Neloh et al., 2019). In contrast, Kim et al. (2008), proved that information quality has a significant effect on trust and continuance usage intention.

Perceived privacy protection refers to the concern of consumers in using e-wallets. Online consumers tend to face the risk of uncertainty, where consumers are faced with the need for privacy protection they disclose in e-wallets. Perceived privacy protection can provide a sense of trust for customers and can lead to continuance usage intention (Trivedi & Yadav, 2018; Kotte, 2015). However, the opposite suggests that privacy protection does not affect continuance usage intention (Neloh et al., 2019).
A study conducted by the New York Cash Exchange (NYCE) as stated in Montague (2010), revealed increasing consumer attention regarding security in online shopping. The survey found 77 percent of respondents claimed to be concerned about theft of their personal information. Consumers are aware of fraud, malware, and viruses. Both consumers and service providers need to take security measures to protect data security (Wang et al., 2016). Perceived security protection in the handling of their data is strongly influencing trust in online transactions (Gupta & Dubey, 2016). Previous research states that there is a positive effect of perceived security protection on trust (Nelloh et al., 2019; Ofori et al., 2017; and Fatikasari, 2018). Perceived security protection is not proven to have a positive and significant relation to trust in Putri (2016).

Perceived security protection also has a direct influence on continuance usage intention. Several studies state that there is a positive and significant influence between perceived security protection on continuance usage intention (Kinasih & Albari, 2012; Nelloh et al., 2019 and Trivedi & Yadav, 2018). Tanjing (2019) and Kim et al. (2019), get the opposite result, better security protection does not make consumers make repeat purchases. The inconsistencies in the results of these studies require further research to confirm the relationship between perceived security protection and continuance usage intention.

Trust is highly considered in realizing the level of participation in electronic transactions. The higher customer’s trust, the level of participation in re-transactions will be higher as well (Rofiq, 2007). Customers who have trust tend to be more loyal. Consumer loyalty means that the company has accommodated most of the customer's wishes so that customers do not hesitate to continue to trust the company. Psychologically, trust between cognitive and affective responds to external stimuli differently in forming customer loyalty. Cognitive-based trust is a factor that can create a decision to make a continuous purchase (Lee et al., 2015).

A pilot study was conducted to see an initial picture of the use of e-wallets in Denpasar. Questionnaires were randomly distributed. From 20 respondents showed that 13 respondents (65 percent) chose OVO as the first e-wallet used for payments, followed by Gopay with 7 respondents (35 percent). Regarding the factors that underlie respondents in using the e-wallet, 55 percent answered due to trust in e-wallets, followed by perceived security protection (15 percent), perceived privacy protection (15 percent), and information quality (5 percent). Although there has been researching that has discussed this topic, it still needs additional literacy. Several research gaps from previous studies that have inconsistent results make this topic interesting to re-examine.

Literature review

Trust

Trusting intention in the trust-building model (TBM) introduced by McKnight et al. (2002), is the consumer’s willingness to depend and be involved with vendors in a positive relationship in certain situations. Trusting intention is based on a person’s cognitive trust in another party (McKnight et al., 2002). The existence of trust cannot be separated from the existence of consumer confidence in the company's ability to present products or services according to consumer expectations (Ruparelia et al., 2010). With trust, it is hoped that consumers can repurchase products. In electronic transactions, trust is a very important issue since the exchange relationship is based on the impersonal nature of the internet infrastructure (Hong & Cha, 2013).

Kim et al. (2008), argue that there are four categories of antecedents that influence consumer trust, consist of cognitive-based, affective based, experience-based, and personality-oriented. Cognitive based trust is consumer confidence in the competence and reliability of service providers (Johnson & Grayson, 2005). Cognitive trust occurs when a person makes a conscious decision to believe based on the best knowledge they have (McAllister, 1995 in Washington, 2013). When relationships are based on cognitive trust, individuals choose to believe based on evidence of trust. Thus, cognitive-based trust tends to be high and allows for repeated interactions. Lee et al. (2015), support the evidence who state that cognitive trust influences customers to start and continue business with their partners based on the knowledge they have obtained about the trustee, reasons, and judgments, and not on their feelings and hunches.

Hypothesis development

Information quality is the general perception of consumers about the accuracy and completeness of the information relating to products and transactions. The quality of information helps reduce the perceived uncertainty and risk associated with e-commerce transactions. Information quality is the main driver in the formation of initial trust in m-payments, service providers should put extra emphasis on keeping their applications updated and providing relevant information accurately and quickly (Talwar et al., 2020). Among the factors that influence trust, information quality

has a relatively large effect (Gao et al., 2015). Information quality has a positive and significant effect on trust (Nelloh et al., 2019; Budilarto (2018); Ofori et al. (2017); McKnight et al., (2017); and Putri (2016); state that the quality of information has a strong impact on trust.

**H1**: Information quality has a positive and significant effect on trust.

The main obstacle to the use of financial technology is the issue of privacy and data security because it has a major influence on trust (Stewart & Jürjens, 2018). Fatikasari (2018), reveals that perceived privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on consumer trust. Other studies have obtained similar results (Putri, 2016 and Ofori et al., 2017). Privacy has a role in increasing trust (Kotte, 2015). On the other hand, Stewart & Jürjens (2018), states that privacy and data security issues have a major influence on trust in financial technology.

**H2**: Perceived privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on trust.

Security refers to consumer consideration of fraudulent acts in electronic transactions. Online customers often believe that digital payment systems are unsafe and could potentially be bugged (Falk et al., 2016). Perceived security protection contributes to trust in the use of bank services via smartphones (Susanto et al., 2016). The effect of perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on trust (Nelloh et al., 2019; Kasim, 2017; Ofori et al., 2017; and Fatikasari, 2018).

**H3**: Perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on trust.

Continuance usage intention is the customer's willingness to use the same product or service. Previous research indicates that trust has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention (Nelloh et al., 2019; Trivedi & Yadav, 2018; Budilarto, 2018; Gong et al., 2018; Ofori et al., 2017; Suandana, et al., 2016 and Astarina et al., 2017).

**Zhou et al. (2018)**, confirmed the positive effect of trust on continuance usage intention.

**H4**: Trust has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention.

Ofori et al. (2016), revealed users easily switch to other social media makes the continuance intention a source of competitive advantage for service providers. When product performance exceeds consumer expectations, it can give a positive impression and make consumers have the intention to re-purchase in the future (Astarina et al., 2017). Chung & Wei (2020) and Chen (2017), prove that information quality has a significant effect on trust and continuance usage intention because information quality can reduce the risk of possible losses.

**H5**: Information quality has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention.

Existing studies show that privacy can directly or indirectly influence users' attitudes and decisions to use mobile applications or share personal information with them (Balapour et al., 2019). Privacy protection has a positive effect on continuance usage intention (Trivedi & Yadav, 2018). Perceived privacy protection can provide a sense of trust for customers and can lead to continuance usage intention (Kotte, 2015).

**H6**: Perceived privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention.

Perceived security in mobile Fintech services is an important construct that influences continuance usage intention. Perceived security protection in online transactions will increase users' intention to continue using electronic payment systems even when service features are deemed low in reliability (Huang & Cheng, 2012). Perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention (Kinasih & Albari, 2012; Nelloh et al., 2019; Kasim, 2017; and Trivedi & Yadav, 2018).

**H7**: Perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention.

### 2 Materials and Methods

This research is designed to explain, understand, and predict the relationship between information quality, perceived privacy protection, perceived security protection, trust, and continuance usage intention. This study was conducted for e-wallet OVO’s users in Denpasar City. The sample was selected using a non-probability sampling approach. A purposive sampling technique was used in this study. This study uses 21 indicators that the estimate based on the number of indicators specified sample size of 150 respondents. From the data collected, there are 139 respondents'
3 Results and Discussions

Respondent characteristic

Respondent characteristics are based on age, most of them are around 25-30 years (50 percent). Women have a higher percentage of 60 percent compared to male respondents at 40 percent. It is known that the undergraduate education level has the highest number at 58 percent. Most of the respondents work as civil servants and private employees, respectively at 37 percent. Based on the frequency of OVO use, using more than 4 times in a month has the greatest number (55 percent).

Outer model – PLS

Indicators are considered valid if the value of the loading factor is more than 0.7. The results of the convergent validity test can be seen in Table 1, where all indicators are valid. Based on the output, the AVE value of each variable is above 0.50, which means that 50% or more of the variance of the indicator can be explained and valid.

Table 1
Convergent validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Outer Loading</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information quality (X₁)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to understand information</td>
<td>X₁.₁</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate information</td>
<td>X₁.₂</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to date information</td>
<td>X₁.₃</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting information</td>
<td>X₁.₄</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information satisfaction</td>
<td>X₁.₅</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived privacy protection (X₂)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand the use of personal data collection</td>
<td>X₂.₁</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping personal information confidential</td>
<td>X₂.₂</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not use personal data for other purposes</td>
<td>X₂.₃</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackers cannot access personal data</td>
<td>X₂.₄</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy data protection</td>
<td>X₂.₅</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived security protection (X₃)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy system</td>
<td>X₃.₁</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against errors</td>
<td>X₃.₂</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment security</td>
<td>X₃.₃</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection from manipulation of transactions</td>
<td>X₃.₄</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access protection from unauthorized persons</td>
<td>X₃.₅</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trust (Y₁)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>Y₁.₁</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive expectation</td>
<td>Y₁.₂</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Y₁.₃</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continuance usage intention (Y₂)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to reuse</td>
<td>Y₂.₁</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility of reusing</td>
<td>Y₂.₂</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to reuse</td>
<td>Y₂.₃</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2020
To test discriminant validity, cross-loading value for each variable must be greater than 0.70. Cross-loading values are presented in Table 2 showing that each indicator has shown a cross-loading value greater than 0.70 when associated with its endogenous indicator. This indicates that each reflective indicator can represent its exogenous construct. The discriminant validity test of all indicators is valid for measuring latent variables.

Table 2
Discriminant validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Information quality</th>
<th>Perceived privacy protection</th>
<th>Perceived security protection</th>
<th>Trust</th>
<th>Continuance usage intention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.4</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.5</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.5</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.1</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.2</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.3</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2.1</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2.2</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2.3</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2020

A constructor variable is said to meet composite reliability if it has a composite reliability value greater than 0.70. Table 3 shows that the composite reliability value of each research variable is more than 0.70. It can be concluded that each variable has met the composite reliability.

Table 3
Composite reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information quality</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived privacy protection</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived security protection</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance usage intention</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2020

Inner model – PLS

The coefficient of determination (R-square) uses to measure the proportion of the variation in the value of the affected (endogenous) variable which can be explained from the exogenous variable. The coefficient of determination of the
trust variable is 0.626 while the continuance usage intention variable is 0.843. From these figures, it can be categorized that endogenous variables can be explained by exogenous variables with moderate ($Y_1$) and strong ($Y_2$) scales.

F-square is a measure used to assess the relative impact of the exogenous variable on the endogenous variable. The $F^2$ value of information quality on trust has a moderate effect-size ($F^2$ is 0.134). Perceived privacy protection and perceived security protection have a small effect-size ($F^2$ of 0.035) on trust. The $F^2$ value of information quality on the continuance usage intention has a small effect-size ($F^2$ of 0.001), while the constructs perceived privacy protection, perceived security protection, and trust have moderate effect sizes ($F^2$ each of 0.213; 0.211; and 0.229).

To measure how well the observed value is generated by the model and also its parameter estimates, it is necessary to calculate the $Q^2$ as follows:

$$Q^2 = 1 - (1 - R^2_1) (1 - R^2_2)$$

$$Q^2 = 1 - (1 - 0.626) (1 - 0.843) = 0.941$$

The $Q^2$ value has a value range of $0 < Q^2 < 1$, where the closer to 1 means better model. The results of these calculations show that the $Q^2$ value is 0.941. It can be concluded that the model has very good predictive relevance.

Hypothesis testing is based on t-value and standardized path coefficient value through bootstrapping step in smart PLS 3.0. The t-value hypothesis testing limits the outer loadings is greater than the critical value ($\geq 1.96$).

The effect of information quality on trust (H1) resulted in a path coefficient value $0.304$ and t statistic $3.943 > (t \text{ critical } 1.96)$ with p-value $0.000$, meaning that the effect of information quality on trust is positive and significant. Information quality represents an individual’s evaluation of the performance of system features based on their experience using the system. Users will judge that providing accurate, relevant, easy to understand information and made in an attractive appearance will increase satisfaction with information. It can be said that the information provided is following the expectations and needs of users, leading to a higher level of trust in the OVO application.

The results of this study are in line with Nelloh et al. (2019); Budilarto (2018); McKnight et al. (2017); and Putri (2016); a state that the quality of information has a positive and significant effect on trust. Ofori et al. (2017), with research on internet banking users in Ghana proving similar things, namely the quality of information has a positive and significant effect on trust.

Hypothesis testing on the effect of perceived privacy protection on trust (H2) results in a path coefficient value of $0.204$. The t statistical value obtained is $2.388 > (t \text{ critical } 1.96)$ and the p-value is $0.017$, so the effect of the perceived privacy protection on trust is significant. Companies must have a clear privacy policy and limit the amount of disclosure of personal data as an effort to protect users’ data. If users feel that confidential data, including their personal information, are protected, it will increase their confidence in making transactions using the OVO e-wallet application.

Figure 1. Partial Least Square result

The effect of information quality on trust (H1) resulted in a path coefficient value $0.304$ and t statistic $3.943 > (t \text{ critical } 1.96)$ with p-value $0.000$, meaning that the effect of information quality on trust is positive and significant. Information quality represents an individual’s evaluation of the performance of system features based on their experience using the system. Users will judge that providing accurate, relevant, easy to understand information and made in an attractive appearance will increase satisfaction with information. It can be said that the information provided is following the expectations and needs of users, leading to a higher level of trust in the OVO application.

The results of this study are in line with Nelloh et al. (2019); Budilarto (2018); McKnight et al. (2017); and Putri (2016); a state that the quality of information has a positive and significant effect on trust. Ofori et al. (2017), with research on internet banking users in Ghana proving similar things, namely the quality of information has a positive and significant effect on trust.

Hypothesis testing on the effect of perceived privacy protection on trust (H2) results in a path coefficient value of $0.204$. The t statistical value obtained is $2.388 > (t \text{ critical } 1.96)$ and the p-value is $0.017$, so the effect of the perceived privacy protection on trust is significant. Companies must have a clear privacy policy and limit the amount of disclosure of personal data as an effort to protect users’ data. If users feel that confidential data, including their personal information, are protected, it will increase their confidence in making transactions using the OVO e-wallet application.

The results of this study are following the findings of Fatikasari (2018); Putri (2016); and Ofori et al. (2017), which shows that the perceived privacy protection has a positive and significant influence on trust. The results of this study are also in line with research by Kotte (2015) and Stewart & Jürjens (2018), that the perception of privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on trust.

The path coefficient value of the effect of perceived security protection on trust is 0.375. The t statistical value is obtained at 3.567 (> t critical 1.96) and p-value 0.000 so that hypothesis 3 (H3) which states that there is a significant positive effect on the perceived security protection on trust is accepted. The use of e-wallets has the potential exposure to user privacy data and the threat of financial loss. This makes perceived security protection the main focus of the user. The security mechanism must be enhanced by the service provider company to maintain the security of user data which allows increasing trust in using e-wallets.

These results were confirmed previous studies that found a positive and significant relationship between perceived security protection against trust (Nelloh et al., 2019; Kasim, 2017). The same thing was shown in the research of Ofori et al. (2017) and Fatikasari (2018), who stated that perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on trust.

Hypothesis testing on the effect of trust on continuance usage intention results in a path coefficient value of 0.310. The t statistical value was obtained at 4.965 (> t critical 1.96) and p-value 0.000. Based on this value, hypothesis 4 (H4) which states that trust has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention is accepted. Trust in principle plays an important role in the use of online payment technology. Because e-wallets are considered a very personal service, it is recommended that e-wallet application providers focus on relationships that are based on trust so that they can increase long-term relationships (Sharma & Sharma, 2019).

These results are consistent with Nelloh et al. (2019); Trivedi & Yadav (2018); Budilarto (2018) and Gong et al. (2018); that trust has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention. Research Ofori et al. (2017); Suandana et al. (2016); Astarina et al. (2017); Zhou et al. (2018); also produced a similar result, namely the existence of a positive and significant influence between trust on continuance usage intention.

Tests on the effect of information quality on intention resulted in a path coefficient value -0.021 and t statistical 0.402 (< t critical 1.96) with p-value 0.688. Hypothesis 5 (H5) is rejected. This means that there is no positive and significant influence between information quality and continuance intention. Based on the result, information quality does not significantly influence continuance usage intention. OVO users, especially in Denpasar City, have not considered information quality as a key factor in their continuance intention. Good or bad information does not affect the user's intention to reuse it. Users do not pay attention to the quality of the information because they are more concerned with the basic needs of using technology, such as protecting security. Furthermore, the integrated payment system with e-wallets in e-commerce encourages the creation of habits to frequently use it. Users who are considered to have habits in using e-wallets tend to make users less sensitive to new information (Widodo et al., 2019).

The results of the research analysis support the previous findings conducted by Nelloh et al. (2019), on e-wallet users in Indonesia who state that information quality does not have a significant effect on continuance usage intention.

The path coefficient value is 0.329 with t statistic 4.749 (> t critical 1.96) and p-value 0.000 for the influence of the variable perceived privacy protection on the continuance usage intention. Based on the results of testing the hypothesis, it can be stated that hypothesis 6 is supported or accepted. Users are faced with serious doubts about the misuse of their personal information when using e-wallet applications. Protection of user privacy is very important in electronic transactions to reduce privacy risks that may occur. This can reduce the level of user doubt in sharing personal information and can increase the continuance usage intention of the OVO application.

The results of this study are following Kotte (2015), which shows the perception of privacy protection has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention. Trivedi & Yadav (2018), discusses the effect of security, trust, convenience, and privacy on e-satisfaction and online repurchase intention in India on gen Y consumers.

Testing on the effect of perceived security protection on continuance usage intention (H7) resulted in a path coefficient value of 0.379 and t statistical 5.51 (> t critical 1.96) with p-value 0.000, meaning that the effect of perceived security protection on continuance usage intention is significant. When the company has implemented a security system properly, it can make users feel safe to share personal information needed in payment transactions. When users consider that the security system of the e-wallet application has met user expectations, it can be possible to increase continuance usage intention OVO e-wallet application. Improved security service will improve the business in the long run.

These results are in line with previous study Huang & Cheng (2012); Kinasih & Albari (2012); Nelloh et al. (2019); as well as Trivedi & Yadav (2018), who found that perceived security protection has a positive and significant effect on continuance usage intention. Kasim (2017), discusses the effect of perceived security and perceived privacy on trust
and intention to reuse internet banking in Malaysia. The results showed that there was a positive and significant influence between perceived security and continuance usage intention in the internet banking context.

4 Conclusion

Based on the results of research analysis and discussion results, it can be concluded that there is a positive and significant influence between the information quality, perceived privacy protection, and perceived security protection on trust. Perceived privacy protection, perceived security protection, and trust were found to have a significant relationship with continuance usage intention. However, information quality does not significantly influence continuance usage intention.

There are several limitations in this study, including this study using cross-sectional data, which means that the phenomenon is observed only at a certain point in time so that it cannot provide a more in-depth picture. The proportion of the majority of respondents is filled with millennials who live in Denpasar city and limited types of e-wallets involved make the results of this study cannot be considered as the only finding in examining the continuance usage intention. It is necessary to select respondents that are more proportional to better represent the age range, gender, education level, and income level.
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